(	UNIT	ED STATES PATENT A	and Trademark Office	United States Patent and Ti		
[	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
-	10/054,206	01/22/2002	Hans Thomas Rossby	5977	4669	
	Samuels, Gauthier & Stevens LLP Suite 3300 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110			EXAMINER		
				LOBO, IAN J		
	Dosion, MA 0	2110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3662		
				DATE MAILED: 09/17/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Comment	10/054,206	ROSSBY ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		lan J. Lobo	3662				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
·							
·	<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wi irom consideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
	ion Papers	cicolori requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) 🗌 A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/054,206 Page 2

Art Unit: 3662

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 4, it is questionable whether the claimed "underwater sound source" or the housing resonates when the monopole driver excites the fluid column.

2. Claims 4-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for monopole driver, does not reasonably provide enablement for a spherical monopole driver. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Specifically, it is not clear from the instant specification what the spherical monopole is and how it works.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,206 Page 3

Art Unit: 3662

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McMahon et al ('470) when taken in view of Piquette ('439, '741).

McMahon et al discloses (Figs. 2 and 3) an underwater sound source that includes a housing (2) adapted to receive fluid therein and a piezoelectric driver (1) positioned within the housing.

The difference between claim 1 and the McMahon structure is the claim specifies that the driver is a monopole driver.

The Piquette patents each teach underwater sound projectors that include a driver which comprises a push-pull configuration or a monopole driver. Such a push-pull configuration is taught by Piquette to produce cancellation of nonlinear responses and the provision of a linear acoustic output for the low frequency underwater source. Thus, in view of Piquette, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to modify the system of McMahon et al by utilizing a push-pull configuration upon the piezoelectric driver (1) of McMahon et al. Claim 1 is so rejected.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected as an obvious design choice of the low frequency output of McMahon et al.

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,206

Art Unit: 3662

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to lan J. Lobo whose telephone number is (703) 306-4161. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on (703) 306-4171. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3662

Page 4

ijl September 16, 2002